

Central Intelligence Agency



DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

26 March 1984

MOSCOW'S RESPONSE TO THE DIPLOMATIC CHALLENGE IN SOUTHERN AFRICA

Summary

Recent Soviet commentary reflects strong concern that Pretoria's agreements with Angola and Mozambique threaten to weaken the USSR's position in these key southern African states and undermine its efforts to support SWAPO and the ANC. Moscow's attention has focused much more on Angola than on Mozambique because the Soviets have a greater investment of resources and prestige in Luanda and a greater ability to affect developments there.

25X1

There has been no visible sign of Soviet pressure on Angola since the cease-fire with South Africa was signed in February. This suggests that Moscow, while probably uncertain over Luanda's ultimate aims, recognizes the utility of the cease-fire to shore up the MPLA's worsening military position as well as Angola's

This paper was prepared by the Foreign Policy Issues Branch, Policy Analysis Divison, Office of Soviet Analysis in response to a request from Frank Wisner, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs. It was coordinated with the Office of African and Latin American Affairs and the National Intelligence Officers for Africa and the USSR. Comments and queries may be directed to the Acting Chief, Policy Analysis Division,

SOV M 84-10048CX

25X1

Copy 14

25X1

Soviet Interests in Southern Africa

important regional role by strengthening the power base of the socialist-oriented regimes in Angola and Mozambique, cultivating black African support for Moscow's position on broader international issues, and supporting insurgents who seek to overthrow the white minority regimes in South Africa and Namibia. Angola is the key to these objectives because it provides the USSR with the access to influence the region's national liberation movements and the potential to exploit instability in neighboring Zaire.

Moscow's Response to the Recent Agreements

2. Soviet commentary has focused on the Angolan-South African cease-fire along the Namibian border. No mention was made of the nonaggression pact between Mozambique and South Africa until the day it was signed. An authoritative Pravda article on 5 March urged "extreme vigilance" upon the frontline states in their dealings with the US and emphasized that peace in the region could not be achieved without eliminating the white minority regime in Pretoria.

25X1

25X1 ·

Angola	25 X 1
3.	
Moscow has mounted a pro-	
Moscow has mounted a press campaign designed to raise doubts about US motives for brokering the talks between Angola and South Africa and Pretoria's motives	25X1
in agreeing to the cease-fire. TASS and Izvestiva have reneated	25X1
allegations that the United States and South Africa are engaged in a duplicitous game intended to alleviate the burden that	25 X 1
military operations are imposing on Pretoria and to provide	_
Washington with an election year foreign policy "success."	
	25X1
4. Despite Moscow's uneasiness, there is little evidence of a strong Soviet effort to stop the Angolan-South African	2J/ I
dialogue. While castigating Washington and Pretoria, Soviet	25X1
media have not condemned the Angolan-South African agreement itself.	
5. The Soviets may feel there currently is little reason	0574
to dash the talks with South Africa. The recently concluded	25X1
cease-fire and South African withdrawal from southern Angola have diminished the security threat to the MPLA governmentMoscow's	
most important regional client: indeed, the worsening military	
situation prompted the Soviets to send record levels of arms in 1983. If the cease-fire holds, moreover, the Angolans and Cubans	
could tocus all of their energies toward the UNITA insurgency	
Ine Soviets may have misgivings about temporarily leaving SWAPA	
in the lurch, but preserving the regime in Luanda is a more important short-term priority.	25X
	20/(
6. The Soviet reaction to the Angolan-South African dialogue notwithstanding, Moscow's overall position on Namibia	
remains unchanged. The Soviets continue to call for a unilateral	
withdrawal of South African forces from Namibia and	
implementation of UN 435. They also continue to criticize any formula which links Namibia to the Cuban troop presence in	
Angola, stating that the Angolans and Cubans can consider the	
issue of a troop withdrawal only after Namibia is independent and	
the security threat to Angola is eliminated. Havana and Luanda reaffirmed their opposition to the linkage formula during	
President dos Santos's recent visit to Cuba.	25X
,	25 X ′

Mozambique

7. In contrast to the publicity accorded the Angolan cease-fire, Moscow only belatedly acknowledged the security accord between Mozambique and South Africa. We believe the delay reflects Soviet displeasure with Machel.

8. Machel's rapprochement with Pretoria clearly undercuts Soviet interests and prestige; it tarnishes Maputo's revolutionary credentials and opens up new opportunities for Western inroads in Mozambique. More importantly from Moscow's perspective, it diminishes the already limited capabilities of the African National Congress and thus obstructs the USSR's long-term objective to undermine the white minority regime in Pretoria.

9. The Soviets evidently tried to dissuade Machel from his rapprochement with Pretoria, reportedly alternating the threat of oil cutbacks with offers of free oil in an effort to do so. Moscow, however, never has been willing to make aid commitments commensurate with Maputo's economic needs. The issue of economic aid has long been a source of friction in Soviet-Mozambican relations.

10. The Soviets nonetheless have acquiesced in Machel's policy shift, at least partly because they have less at stake in Mozambique as compared to Angola. Soviet prestige, for example, is not linked to the survival of the Machel regime, whereas it was a Soviet and Cuban intervention that brought to power--and sustained--the MPLA in Angola. Moreover, the Soviets would have difficulty obstructing Machel in any event because they do not have the degree of access and influence they enjoy in Luanda

11. Nonetheless, Moscow clearly has not written off Mozambique. President Machel was accorded high-level attention at the Andropov funeral in mid-February; the <u>Pravda</u> account of his meetings with Politburo member Tikhonov and Deputy Foreign Minister Il'ichev noted that prospects for further development of bilateral relations were favorable.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25**X**1

25X1

4

25X1

Soviet Prospects and Options

12. Given the deep-seated nature of regional tensions, the
UNITA threat, and Moscow's belief that South Africa remains
unwilling to relinquish Namibia, the Soviets probably view the
current talks as unlikely to lead to a Cuban withdrawal from
Angola. Recent public statements by pro-Soviet MPLA hardliners
Lucio Lara and Paulo Jorge suggest that some in Luanda see the
current cease-fire and South African withdrawal as an end in
itself and that no decision has been reached on any steps be <u>yond</u>
a cease-fire. We believe Moscow may share this assessment.

14. Nonetheless, Machel's about-face in Mozambique probably has heightened Moscow's sensitivity to the possibility that developments in Luanda could also evolve in a way damaging to Soviet interests. Should the Soviets become suspicious about dos Santos's ultimate aims in dealing with Pretoria, they are likely to exert direct pressure on him. as they have done several times in the past.

15. The Soviets would react more strongly if the cease-fire was the first step toward a Namibia settlement that included a phased-withdrawal of the Cubans. With the Cuban combat troops gone, the Soviets would lose much of their leverage in Luanda. It is true that if UNITA were actually cut off from its South African supply lines and the MPLA concentrated its military efforts solely on UNITA, Angola would continue to be dependent on the USSR for additional military support. Moscow, however, would hardly be sanguine about such a strategy given Luanda's inability to defeat UNITA in the past, when it had up to 30,000 Cubans to bolster its cause.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

5_

- 16. In the event dos Santos assembled a coalition which was prepared to move on the US Namibia package and reconcile with UNITA, the Soviets could:
 - -- Use disinformation and propaganda and active measures to exploit Angolan fears that Pretoria and the US are colluding to force a UNITA-MPLA coalition government (or even worse, a UNITA seizure of power) in Luanda.*
 - -- Press SWAPO to step up its activities inside Namibia in hopes of turning Pretoria sour on a Namibia settlement.
 - -- Encourage or promote a coup in Luanda, in hopes that a successful power-grab by pro-Soviet hardliners would result in a more confrontational approach toward South Africa and the SWAPO issue.

Disinformation might succeed, given the historical suspicion and distrust between Pretoria and its Black African neighbors. On the other hand, it would probably be ineffective if both Luanda and Pretoria were determined to reach a settlement. The Namibian insurgents would welcome additional Soviet arms support to step up their activities; Moscow, however, would have difficulty supplying SWAPO without its traditional Angolan conduit. Even if a pro-Soviet coup succeeded, it might further split the party and weaken the military, with the attendant effect of strengthening the position of Savimbi and his UNITA insurgents.

25X1

17. These options, however, fail to take Angola beyond the basic dilemmas that prompted it to respond to South African overtures in the first place. If the USSR succeeded in destroying the recent gains, South Africa could resume its pressure on Luanda by re-entering southern Angola and stepping up aid to UNITA, which, in turn, would create a security crisis much like the one which prompted Moscow to send record levels of arms to Luanda in 1983. Moscow may be prepared to up the military ante--as it warned the South Africans last November--in part

25X1

25X1

because Luanda's oil earnings have minimized Soviet and Cuban financial costs in Angola. The Soviets are unlikely, however, to pick up the economic assistance burdens as the Angolan economy becomes a total shambles.

25X1

18. We believe that if the Angolans resolve their internal debate on Namibia and decide to proceed with the US package settlement--even one which led to a reconciliation with UNITA--the Soviets would try to dissuade them, but would ultimately bow to Luanda's wishes. The Soviets presumably would seek strong assurances that Luanda would retain its leftist political orientation and its close bilateral ties to the USSR. In that case, Moscow would continue its military assistance relationship to maintain a stake in Angola.

25X1

19. Moscow probably would seek to put a positive face on such a settlement, noting Luanda's continued leftist orientation, US recognition of the MPLA (albeit in a coalition with UNITA), and the honorable intentions of the Cubans, claiming that they had eliminated the South African security threat and then left when requested to do so. Moscow might go so far as to claim that the Namibia settlement represented a victory for the Cubans and the MPLA. While continuing to cultivate Luanda, the Soviets would turn their attention to independent Namibia--presuming a SWAPO electoral win--in pursuit of new opportunities for influence and penetration.

25X1

20. There is some slight evidence that the Soviets may be positioning themselves for such an eventuality. In December, for example, officials from the Africa Institute and the Foreign Ministry told a visiting US academic that a military solution was not possible in Angola and that peace could only succeed with the formation of a coalition—though both officials had doubts about Savimbi's role in such a scenario. Increasing candor in the Soviet press about Angola's precarious economic condition seemingly suggests that Moscow recognizes that the MPLA may take some dramatic steps to resolve an increasingly untenable position.

25X1

21. In comparison to Angola, Moscow's future options in Mozambique are much more limited as a result of Machel's dramatic about-face in his dealings with South Africa. Still, the friendly reception accorded Machel during his February trip to Moscow reflects the USSR's desire to maintain a role and presence in Mozambique. We believe the Soviets will try to sustain the military assistance relationship—as they have in Tanzania and Guinea—despite the recent setback to their interests. It is unlikely, however, that they will make new economic assistance

25X1

·	
commitments while Machel continues to accommodate Pretoria.	
Moscow, for example, has shown little sympathy for Mozambique's plight during the current drought; in contrast, the Soviets have	
highlighted recent food aid commitments to the Sandinista regime	
in Managua.	25 X ′
Containing the Problem	
22. Elsewhere in southern Africa, Moscow will try to foment and exploit new tensions to undermine South African diplomacy and	
to sustain the long-term struggle against white minority rule in	
Pretoria. Toward this end, the Soviets will try to channel additional assistance to SWAPO and the ANC wherever possible.	
Moscow, for example, could try to use its diplomatic presence in	0514
Botswana to facilitate efforts to support the ANC.	25X ²
Impact of Soviet Moves on the Soviet-Cuban Relationship	25X1
	25/1
24. We believe Havana would ultimately bend to Moscow's wishes on the Angolan situation, whether it be to send additional	
combat troops or to disengage from Angola entirely. Although	
tensions and differences could emerge if, for example, Castro felt the USSR had sold out in Angola or used the Cuban troop	
issue as a pawn in the bigger game of US-Soviet relations, the	
Soviet-Cuban relationship would remain largely unchanged because	
Havana's economic and military dependence upon the Soviet Union is so great.	25X ²
. S S S, C C S	2070
	25X
. 8	
	25X′

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/20 : CIA-RDP85T00287R001400530001-0

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/20 : CIA-RDP85T00287R001400530001-0

SUBJECT: Moscow's Response to the Diplomatic Challenge in Southern Africa

25X1 (26 March 1984) SOVA/PA/F/ Distribution: Copy No. 25X1 1 - SOVA/PA/F 5 - SOVA/PA/F Subject file 10 - DDI 7E47 HQS 11 - NIO/USSR-EE 7E62 HQS 12-13 - NIO/AFRICA 7E62 HQS 14-18 - OCPAS/IDCD/CB 7G07 HQS 3F25 HQS 25X1 19 - ALA/AFRICA 20 - ALA/AFRIÇA 3F25 HQS 25X1 21 - ALA/CUBA 4F39 HQS 22 - D/SOVA 23 - DD/SOVA 24-25 - AC/SOVA/PAD 26 - C/CSD 27 - C/CS/C 25X1

SUBJECT: Moscow's Response to the Diplomatic Challenge in Southern Africa

Distribution:

Copy No.

- 2 Mr. Frank Wisner
 Deputy Assistant Secretary of State
 for African Affairs
 Bureau of African Affairs
 6234 Dept. of State
- 3-4 Mr. Robert Cabelly
 Bureau of African Affairs
 6234 Dept. of State
 - 6 Mr. Fred Wettering NSC Room 368 EOB
 - 7 Ms. Karen Puschel INR/SEE 6510 Dept. of State
 - 8 Mr. Leonardo Neher INR/AA/S Room 4635 Dept. of State
 - 9 Ms. Joan Seaworth INR/AA/S Room 4635 Dept. of State

25X1

10